

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/764,609	01/17/2001	Donald W. Malackowski	29997/035A	7844
7590 05/07/2004		EXAMINER		
J. William Frank, III			LEUBECKER, JOHN P	
McCracken & Frank		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
200 W. Adams Suite 2150			3739	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/764,609	MALACKOWSKI ET	AL.			
7.a, 7.a	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	John P. Leubecker	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	l amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34 and 80-106</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		John Heubecker				
··		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3739				

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/764,609

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The feature regarding the transmission of information in response to a received signal FROM THE SURGERY SYSTEM when the smart instrument is placed WITHIN A FIELD OF DETECTION is one that has not been considered with respect to the prior art and has not been addressed by the Examiner in any communication..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It includes statements which amount to an affirmation that the claimed subject matter functions as it was intended to function. This is not relevant to the issue of nonobviousness of the claimed subject matter and provides no objective evidence thereof..